

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/814,853	LI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Habte Mered	2616

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Habte Mered. (3) _____

(2) Mark V. Muller. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 21 November 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

KWANG BIN YAO

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: This was a courtesy call to indicate to the Applicant's Attorney that the OFFice has mailed a PTO-9C form in relation to the Non-Final Office Action mailed on 11/15/2007. Mr. Muller indicated that he has received the Non-Final Office Action mailed on 11/15/2007 and will wait until he receives the Office communication containing US PTO-9C before issuing any response..